Proceedings

App F IX 4

1927

File No 84-25

Previous References
84-25 Vol 1 (Part 1)

Serial Nos 150-1/2

Later References
Rel 242-29 (1 & 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos four

Part B for Sept 27

SUBJECT

Revised
Draft Bye-laws for regulating structures & buildings framed by the Bangalore Municipal Council

cf 2 & 1829 of 24-9-27 from the Bl Commr to the Pdt mt Cl

Approves of the above

Sec 4/12

WD 01264—GPB—10 000—17 12 28

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rl File No | of 192

Serial No

Letter No B-3-385/26-27 9/10 6 27 from the Revl Comr, Bangalore city.

Submits the opinions of the Bye-laws Committee and of the Revl Council on the observations of Govt made on draft bye-laws Nos 21, 31 and 32 framed regulating the structures and buildings in the Bangalore City and requests approval of the draft bye-laws not hereto and for sanction.

Draft Bye laws No. 21 It was pointed out to the Revl Council that this bye law requiring that not more than half or two-thirds of the site should be built upon seemed to be extraordinary and ultravires, and the same might therefore be omitted. The explanation of the Revl Council is that such a provision is found in Bye-laws of other Municipalities and that it may therefore be retained as it seems unobjectionable As regards bye-law No 6 of the bye laws relating to buildings and structures in the Bangalore city requiring the owner of a site to leave a part of his site for a street as a margin of the Municipal Drains decided by the Chief Asst as ultra-vires the present bye Law No 21 seems to be on the same analogy ultra vires and may be omitted, if approved

2 Draft-bye-Law No 31 requiring owners to petition within one month after completion of

The reference file could not be traced complete if time allows to trace it out Copies of the same have been obtained from the Revl Office and the Government as recalled with

1917

Some

Mr Ch collection found in the Record to-day

K

24/V

K

NOTE—Contra Sheet

WD 0.284—GPB—10 000—17 12 2
App F III—2]

6169

General and Revenue Secretariat | nl File No | of 192

Serial No	
	a building was pointed out by Govt to the Rural Council as unnecessary and might be deleted - the Rural Council explain that it is intended to protect revenue and that it is understood to be in force in the Madras & Corporation and in the Negapatam Municipality the Madras Corporation Bye-laws is not available here and Negapatam Municipality building bye-laws do not contain such a provision as is now proposed
	3 draft bye-laws No 32 - the Rural Council were asked that provision might be made for the grant of licence on renewal application the Rural Council have accordingly framed a bye-law in the matter and the same may be approved 4 Orders are solicited by whether the draft bye-laws put up by the Rural Council may be approved with the above modifications
	5 draft bye-laws returned with the D & D do not contain bye-laws No 21, 31 and 32 referred to therein draft returning the draft bye-laws for fresh submission in a complete form is held for appl-
	6 issue
151	Letter No 1618/29 & 27 of the Rural Comr, Ahmedabad requests in the circumstances stated

NOTE—Contra Sheet

WD 0 284—GPB—10 000—17 12 28

Gen ral and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 816 of 1925

Serial No

6 Ramburt the Bye-laws returned in a Complete form

H.C. 14/9

152 Letter No B-3-385/26-7 & (c & 2) from the Revl Cmr, Bangalore Ch

Forwards the Draft Bye laws regulating Structures and Buildings in a Complete form

7 The bye laws obtaining in the Bangalore City mainly regulating the Construction of buildings and Structures are in existence since Sept 1913 and they were framed under Section 48 (1) Clauses (n) and (p) of the Mysl Regn

8 On the results of the experience gained in the working of these bye laws since 1913, the Mysl Council have revised them on the model of the bye-laws obtaining in the C & M Stations, Bangalore, Poona, and Ahmedabad municipalities and they have now submitted to Govt in Novr 1925 a consolidated draft revised bye-laws embodying all the provisions contained in clauses n, p q, r, t & u of Section 48 (1) of the Mysl Regn relating to Buildings and Structures

9 These draft bye-laws were sometime in this Office and they were returned to the Revl Cmr for the consideration of the Revl Council with remarks made in this Office in respect of draft bye-laws No 21, 31 and 32 (Vide this

NOTE—Contra Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rl File No 94 of 192 5

Serial No

(Vide Revs Office Letter No 7089/Rl 8 N 25-95 D the 25th May 1926)

Enc to O&D I

10 Thereupon the Rural Govt submitted for approval the opinion of the Rural Council in the matter as follows:-

V (Page 9)

11 Draft bye-law No 21 -- It was pointed out to the Rural Council that this bye-law requires that not more than half or two-thirds of the site should be built upon seems to be extraordinary and ultra vires. The explanation of the Rural Council is that such a provision is found in the bye-laws of other Municipalities and that it may therefore be retained as it seems unobjectionable As the City Rural Council have not been specially empowered by Govt to regulate the dimensions of the structures under Section 96(2) of the Rural Regn the proposed bye-law seems to be ultra vires and may therefore be deleted if approved

V (Page 11)

12 Draft bye-law No 31 - This bye-law requires the owners to give notice within one month after completion of a building This was pointed out to the Rural Council as unnecessary and might be deleted The Rural Council explain that this bye law is

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60 000—24 5 27

App F III—2]

M/File No 61 of 1925

Serial No	
	is proposed with a view to protect revenue and that it is understood to be in force in the Madras Corporation and in the Mysore City Municipality if any of the Madras Corporation Bye Laws is not available in the office and the Mysore Building Bye Laws of the Mysore City do not contain such a provision as is now proposed whether the proposed may be deleted or not is for orders
13 (Page 11)	13 Draft Bye-Laws No 32 :- In place of this Bye Laws the Town Council were asked to that provision might be made for the grant of License on renewal application the Town Council have accordingly forwarded a bye-laws and the same may be approved 14 Noted for orders the Draft Bye-Laws are in order 24 8 25
15	The Bangalore City Municipal Council submitted in 1925 (Nov) certain revised draft byelaws for the regulation of structures and buildings in the City the draft was returned to the Municipality stating that three of the provisions were

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60 000—24 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 574 of 1927

Serial No

either unnecessary or undesirable The bylaws referred to related to (1) the restriction of building on a ~~part~~ half or two-third part of the site (2) the owners being required to give notice of completion of a building (3) the cessation of a license to build if it was not used within a year of grant The Jud Cl were told that the first of these bylaws seemed extraordinary — that the ~~by~~ second was not apparent and that in regard to the third provision may be made for renewal of license on application The Jud Cl now sent the rule with the reply that the restriction made under the first head is in force in other Municipalities, that the second bylaw is intended to help to keep the tax or the demand register without delay and that the third bylaw may be amended, providing for renewal They have not named the Municipalities where the bylaw regarding restriction of built up space to 2/3 or 1/2 of the site is in force The provision seems however desirable and may be approved The second and third bylaws may also be approved on the lines stated and the rules one with the amendment The other bylaws have been previously considered and accepted

MS 5/9

Ch Secy

NOTE—See Control sheet

CHIEF SECRETARY
5 SEP 1927

WD 0324—GPB—60 000—24-5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 94 of 1926

DEWAN'S OFFICE
8 SEP 1927
Reg No 1927

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE
THI ME C IL
6 SEP 1927
No 2003

16 The consolidated building byelaws may be approved

Hon Member
Dewan

nec 6/9
hw 6/9
CSZ 6/9
nc hw 8/9

Mo
8-9-27

nec 9/9
Abt
mc 9/9

Draft as submitted for appl

Hh
1879/27

Issued 16/9

158 Go No X 18398 24 9 27 to Genl Secy
Bloxam
Sanctions the bye-laws

B

G

NOTE—Contn sl eet

WD 0324—GPB—60 000—24 5 27

No. B-3-35 [illegible]6-27)

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Bangalore City Dated 9th June 1927

To

GENERAL & REVENUE BR
61/6994
JUN 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

From T GOVINDA ROY ESQ
~~B.XXKESAVAIENGAR.Esq.XXXX~~
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER CITY MUNICIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

CRO 108
14/6

To

Madhava Rao, Esq, B A B L
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

Mul 84-25-150

16/6/27
Secy
Mag

Sir

With reference to your letter No I 7089/M1 84-25-95 dated 20th May 1926 communicating the observations of Government on draft bye-laws Nos 21 31 and 32 for regulating --- Structures and Buildings I have the honor to state that the observations were first considered by the Municipal Bye-law Committee on 2nd February 1927, and then, by the Council at the meeting held on 6th April 1927

2 The Council resolved that the opinion of the Bye-law Committee in regard to the revised draft bye-laws be approved and communicated to Government A copy of the Bye-law Committee's amendment with their opinion is enclosed

3 I request that you will be so good as to obtain the earl sanction of Government to the promulgation of Bye-laws forwarded with this Office No G To 79 dated 16th November 1925 as amended and communicated in this letter

4 The draft bye-laws forwarded for reference with the letter under acknowledgment are enclosed

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President

1

No.58.

Proceedings of an adjourned Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Wednesday the 6th April 1927 at 5 P.M. in the Council Chamber.

Present

Mahomed Abbas Khan, Esq.(Honorary President.)

Councillors.

1. Mr G. Muniyappa,
2. Mr. Lalur Srinivasiengar,B.A.,B.L.
3. Mr. Hobhadrappa,
4. Mr. G.Paramasivaiah, B.A.,LL.B ,
5. Mr. M.G.Sanjeevaiah,
6. Mr. G.Siddaveerappa,
7. Mr. S Abdur Rahman,B.A.,
8. Mr B.L.Byanna,
9. Mr. G.[illegible]avendrachar,
10. Mr C.N.Narasinga Rao,B.A.,B.L.,
11. Mr [illegible] itharama Rao,
12. Mr C.Nayavadara Rao,B.A.,
13. Mr. S Venkataramaiengar,
14. Mr.M.V.[illegible]aly Nursee
15. Mr [illegible]mara Rao, [illegible]
16. Mr. [illegible] Ayyar, Esq.
17. Mr [illegible]subbaramachetty,
18. Mr S.Venkataramaiah, [illegible] LT
19. [illegible]
20. Mr M.[illegible] Chicknanjundappa

Absent.

1. Mr. [illegible].H.Hanumantharaya,
2. Chamarajaprasada Mr.[illegible].Varisamaiengar,
3. Mr [illegible].[illegible]Nawi,
4. Mr C.K.Nutchappa,
5. Mr. R.Gopalaswami Iyer,
6. Mr P.[illegible]asan Pillay,
7. Mr [illegible] J Cox, B.A.,
8. Mr B. [illegible]ijayadeva,M.A.,
   Barrister-at-Law,
9. Mr P.[illegible]appa,
10. Mr V.A.Krishnamurthi Mudaliar, B.A.,

Deferred Subject No 5 ---

To consider letter No 7089/L1 84-25-95 dated 20th May 1926 from the Secretary to Government Local and Legislative Departments inviting the opinion of the Municipal Council in regard to certain revised Draft Bye-laws for regulating Structures and buildings and the opinion of the Bye-law Committee on the same

Resolution --- Mr G Paramasivaiah proposed and Mr C Nayavadara Rao seconded that the opinion of the Bye-law Committee dated 2nd February 1927 in regard to the revised bye-laws be approved, and communicated to Government

Carried unanimously

Sd Mohamed Abbas Khan
Honorary President

'Copy

N Sampanga Murthy

For Municipal Commissioner

Proceedings of the Bye-law Committee meeting dated 2nd February 1927

6 To consider letter No 7089/M1 84-25-95 dated 20th May 1926 from the Secretary to Government Local and Legislative Departments in regard to certain revised draft bye-laws for regulating structures and buildings framed under Section 45 (1) n, p q r t and u of the Municipal Regulation of 1906

Resolution ,---

Bye-law No 21 --- (i) The provision made suggests that not more than 1/2 or 2/3rds of the site should be built upon and not that ½ or 2/3rds should be built upon The provision is found in Bye-laws of other Municipalities and is intended to provide for proper ventilation air etc It may therefore be retained as it seems unobjectionable

Bye-law No 31 --- (ii) This is intended to protect revenue A similar rule is unterstood to be in force in the -- Madras Corporation and in the Mysore Municipality

Bye-law No 32 --- (iii) The following provision shall be made in the bye-laws to provide for grant of license on renewal application ---

"Provided that a license once obtained shall be renewed on application for an additional one year from the date on which such -- license is renewed

Sd C Rajavadara Rao
Chairman of the meeting

Copy

N Sampanga Rao
For Municipal Commissioner

APP F VI Gl]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 61692

† General and Revenue Secretariat
(L S S)
the 192

Date of issue 29-7-27

Signed by Mr. M. V. Iyengar Sec'y

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Mil
File No 8W-VS
Serial No 157

No L618 Enone

To

The Asst Govt, Bangalore Cy
Vice President, City Muni
Council, Bangalore

With Ref to the correspondence
ending with your letter No B-3-388/26
of the 9th/10th June 192 Rubber Stamp Draft
bye laws regulating Slaughters and burn
ings, I am directed to state that the Draft
bye-laws returned with your letter under
Ref cy do not contain bye-laws No 21,
and 32 Ref to in that letter and to request
you to kindly resubmit the Draft bye
laws/in a Draftable form at a very early
herewith returned

over

Yours
I have

WD 0 62 -CPB—31 000—17 8 25

(27) K

No B 3-385/26-27 OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Encls Four

17 CHIEF SECRETARY 17 AUG 1927

Bangalore City Dated 16th August 1927

GENERAL & REVENUE SECTION 7082 19 AUG. 1927 BANGALORE CITY

From

T GOVINDA ROW Esq

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

To

N Madhava Rao, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith Draft Bye-laws regulating Structures and Buildings, in a complete form, as desired in your letter No L 618/M1 84-25-15 dated 29th July 1927

Forwarded with compliments,

Mohammed Ashraf Khan

Honorary President

SM

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Proceedings of the Bye-law Committee meeting dated 2-2-1927

6 To consider letter No 7089/M1 84-25-95 dated 20th ma 1926 from the Secretary to Government Local and Legislative Departments in regard to certain revised draft bye-laws for regulating structures and buildings framed under Section 48 (1) n p q r t and u of the Municipal Regulation of 1906

Resolution ---

Bye-Law No 21 --- (i) The provision made suggests that not more than 1/2 or 2/3rds of the site should be built upon and not that ½ or 2/3rds should be built upon The provision is found in Bye-laws of other Municipalities and is intended to provide for proper ventilation air etc It may therefore be retained as it seems unobjectionable

Bye-law No 31 --- (ii) This is intended to protect revenue similar rule is understood to be in force in the Madras Corporation and in Mysore Municipality

Bye-law No 32 --- (iii) The following provision shall be made in the Bye-laws to provide for grant of license on renewal application ---

"Provided that a license once obtained shall be renewed on application for an additional one year from the date on which such license is renewed "

Sd C Rajavalera Rao
Chairman of the meeting

"C o p y

M Govindan Rao

For Municipal Commissioner

G R S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 6169 L

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L S G)

the *192*

Date of issue 24-9-27

Signed by [illegible]

Section M

File No S4-25

Serial No 153

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Correspondence cited in the letter No B-3-385/26-27 dated 9th June 1927 from the Municipal Commr, Bangalore City, submitting for sanction a consolidated draft bye-laws for regulating Structures and buildings framed by the Bangalore City Municipal Council under Section 48 (1) (n), (p), (q), (r) (b) and (u) of the Mysore Municipal Regn

Order Thereon—

Order No L 1839 Finance

The Consolidated draft bye-laws are

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

certified Attys of the two laws
is a reduced time to for being printed
both in English and Kannada ~~and~~
~~for transmitting~~ and A dozen printed
~~transmitted~~ Copies
~~thereof~~ the English of Fee Stamp for
record

Yours Obedt Servt

To The Hon'ble Court, Bangalore at the
the President, City Civil Court
Bangalore

4th
1951

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

W D 1885—GPB—1 000 00—21 12 21